

105
16.06.2026
Ct. No.7
pp

WPA 24876 of 2006
Durgapada Dey
Vs.
W.B.S.E.B.

Mr. Sumit Ray
....for WBSEDCL.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner nor is any adjournment prayed for even in the second call.

This matter was appearing in the Warning List and the petitioner had sufficient notice that the same would be taken up for hearing. There is also no adjournment prayed for on behalf of the petitioner.

A Report filed by the Department indicates that despite efforts, the records of this proceeding are not traceable. It also appears from the Report that the proceeding is not traceable even in the CIS as well as the available Rule Register and Movement Registers under the custody of the Mandamus Section.

In view of the above, **WPA 24876 of 2006** stands dismissed for default.

Interim orders, if any, stand vacated.

The Department is directed to take necessary steps forthwith.

(Ravi Krishan Kapur, J.)