

21.01.2026.

PB

Sl. No.82.

Ct. No.32.

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA 21788 of 2007

Ashok Kumar Manna

Vs.

CESC Limited & Anr.

Mr. Sujit Sankar Koley.

....for the WBSEDCL.

1. The instant writ petition has been filed by the writ petitioner, *inter alia*, praying for direction upon the respondents to provide a new supply of electricity in the name of the petitioner at the premises No.15, Raja Rajballav Street, P.S. Shyampukur, Kolkata-700 003.

2. None appears on behalf of the petitioner and no accommodation is prayed for.

3. This case pertains to the year 2007. Despite direction, neither affidavit-in-opposition nor affidavit-in-reply thereto has yet been filed by the parties.

4. Considering the nature of prayer and long pendency of this case, this court prefers to dispose of this case on merit based on the materials available on the record.

5. From the perusal of annexure P1 annexed to the writ petition, it appears that the District Engineer, Calcutta North District, has issued a letter dated 19.06.2007 indicating therein that it is necessary for the petitioner to call the section or the commercial department at the regional office on any working day between 10 a.m. to 12 noon to resolve the issue of the outstanding dues. It was further indicated that once the issue is resolved, they shall notify, in writing, the date of the site inspection, and if found suitable, the offer letter will be forwarded in due course.

6. Without following the request made by the District Engineer, the writ petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking the aforesaid direction.

7. After the passage of 18 years, it seems to this Court that the purpose of filing this writ petition has either already been resolved or the petitioner has abandoned his prayer, even though it is a statutory right to get an electric connection.

8. Accordingly, the writ petition being **WPA 21788 of 2007** is disposed of with a further direction to the petitioner that if he wants to get electric supply, he may apply afresh to the authority and upon such prayer, the authority shall consider his prayer for supply of electricity in accordance with law.

9. Connected applications, if any, are also, thus, disposed of.

10. There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

11. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.
12. All parties shall act in terms of the copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)