

M/L 315
30.01.2026

Bpg.
Allowed

C.R.M. (M) 2336 of 2025

In Re: An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Chanditala
Police Station Case No.881 of 2024 dated 12.11.2024 under Sections
85/103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023;

Hasina Begum Sk @ Hasina Begum
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Debashis Banerjee
Mr. Partha Sarathi Das
Mr. Rakesh Jana.

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Md. Anwar Hossain
Ms. Puspita Saha.

...for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that she is the mother-in-law of the deceased and although the case
was registered for investigation under Section 103(1) of the BNS but
charge-sheet has been submitted under Section 108 of the BNS.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for
bail.

I find that the petitioner is in custody for 439 days.
Having regard to the findings of the investigating officer and that
substantial time will take to conclude the trial, I am of the view that
further detention of the petitioner is unwarranted.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is
allowed. The petitioner, namely, Hasina Begum Sk @ Hasina Begum
shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-

(Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Serampore, Hooghly. If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed by learned trial court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of the district of Hooghly without the prior permission of the learned trial court.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2336 of 2025 is allowed.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)