WPA 25853 of 2026

Binod Kumar Jaiswal
-vs-
19.11.2026 WBSEDCL & Ors.

sl.no. 7
ct. 652

Mr. Arpan Guha
Mr. Saikat Dey
…for the petitioner

Mr. Kaushik Dey
Mr. Chitrak Biswas
…for the respondent no. 5

Mr. Sujit Sankar Koley
…for the WBSEDCL

1. Parties are represented.
2. As to the prayer for grant of new connection by the petitioner at the shop room occupied by him in the subject premises, the WBSEDCL/ respondent authority has raised no serious objection, particularly in view of the settled possession of the petitioner over there.
3. However, the private respondent has raised strong objection firstly, contending that the possession, if any, of the petitioner in the subject premises is not an authorized or legal possession. Secondly, it is submitted that due to negligent and reckless use of connection by the petitioner, there has been fire hazards like short circuit etc. at the concerned premises in past, which is dangerous for life and property over there.

4. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and considering the materials on record, it is found that the petitioner was inducted at the tenant of the concerned shop room.
5. With regard to their respective civil rights, the landlord and the tenant i.e. the private respondent and the petitioner, have dispute between themselves. However, any dispute as above would not interfere into and circumvent the statutory right of the petitioner, being admittedly in possession over the suit property, for grant of electricity connection, subject to technical feasibility concerns and his compliance with all statutory formalities.
6. It is therefore, directed that let the respondent authority/ WBSEDCL conduct an inspection, if not already done, over the premises concerned and take appropriate steps for grant of electricity connection to the petitioner as prayed for, subject to technical feasibility concerns and his compliance with all statutory formalities.
7. Let it be mentioned that grant of electricity connection in the name of the petitioner over the concerned premises shall not amount to create any equitable right of the petitioner as to the property.

8. If required, the respondent authority shall seek for police help cost of which shall be borne by the petitioner herself. The respondent police authority shall extend appropriate help and assistance, if sought for.

9. The entire exercise as above should be concluded by the respondent authority within a period of 15 days from the date of necessary compliance being made by the petitioner.

10. With the direction as above, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)