

23.07.2025
Ct. No.7
Sl. No.12
akd

**W. P. A. 22226 of 2016
(CAN 3 of 2023)**

[VPH Construction Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. -Vs- State Bank of India & Ors.]

Mr. Saptangshu Basu ..Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rohit Banerjee
Mr. Arindam Paul
Ms. Sohini Choudhury
... ... for the petitioners

Mr. Anjan Kumar Paul
... ... for the SBI

Mr. Rohit Das
Ms. Kishwar Rahman
Ms. Sristi Roy
Ms. Anugraha Sundas
... ... for respondent nos.3 & 4
[ARCIL]

1. Heard learned Advocates appearing for the respective parties.
2. During the course of hearing, Mr. Rohit Das, learned Advocate appearing for respondent nos. 3 and 4, highlights that no affidavit in respect of the application being CAN 3 of 2023 has been called for from the said respondents. Accordingly, he prays for leave to file an affidavit-in-opposition to the said application.
3. Record reveals that CAN 3 of 2023 was filed as far back as in the year 2023 and was served upon all the respondents. However, no leave was prayed for on behalf of respondent nos. 3 and 4 to file an affidavit-in-opposition. However, to address the issue effectively, leave is granted to the respondents to file their affidavit-in-opposition of the application, CAN 3 of 2023.
4. Let affidavit-in-opposition to the application, CAN 3 of 2023 be filed within a period of two weeks from date. Reply, if any, be filed a week thereafter.

5. List the matter for further consideration under the same heading three weeks hence.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.)