07.10.2026
Serial no. ***7***
Anticipatory bail
[Rejected]
Dd

In the High Court at Calcutta
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdictional
Appellate Side

CRM (A)/3192/2026

In re : An Application for ***Anticipatory Bail*** *under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure* corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 *in connection with G.R. Case No. 883/2026 arising out of* ***Hare Street*** *Police Station Case No.* ***189*** *of* ***2026*** *dated* ***29.08.2026*** *under Sections* ***318(4)/ 336(3)/ 340(2)/ 351(2)/ 61(2)/336(2)/ 338*** *of* Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

-And-

In the matter of : ***SATYANARAYAN BANSAL AND ORS.***

... ...**Petitioners**

Mr. Sandipan Ganguly, Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Sourav Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Avik Ghatak,
Mr. Mayukh Mukherjee,
Mr. Soumya Nag,
Mr. Ratikanta Pal,
Ms. Namrata Chatterjee,
Mr. Rittwik Mondal,
Mr. Rajdeep Sengupta,
Mr. Subhash Ch. Jana,
Mr. Sachindra Mohan Mondal, Advocates
... For the Petitioners

Mr. Kallol Mondal, Ld. PP,
Mr. Sayak Chakraborty,
Mr. Sayan Mukherjee,
Mr. Rejashree Venket Kundalia, Advocates
... For the State.

Mr. Debasish Roy,
Mr. Sabyasachi Banerjee, Sr. Adv., (VC)
Mr. Ankit Agarwala,
Ms. Alotriya Mukherjee
Ms. Rajnandini Das, Advocates
... For the de facto complainant.

1. Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.
2. State and the private opposite party are represented.
3. Learned senior advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, there are pre-existing civil proceedings between the

parties. He refers to a proceeding pending before the National Company Law Tribunal, Kolkata. He seeks leave to file a supplementary affidavit.

4. Supplementary affidavit filed in Court be taken on record.
5. Learned senior advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, the disputes are civil in nature. Accounts are required to be taken between the parties to arrive at any liability, if there be any. He submits that since corporate insolvency resolution process is in place, there is a moratorium. He refers to the first information report and submits that, allegations are of continuous transactions.
6. Learned senior advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that so far as the forgery is concerned those are subject matter of civil proceeding also and that his client is ready and willing to make over the originals of those documents to the investigating authority.
7. Materials in the case diary implicate petitioners as person in charge of juristic entities taking money on the plea of supply of goods which was never delivered. Money taken was never refunded.
8. Corporate insolvency of a legal entity does not absolve the natural persons of the criminal liability.
9. The materials in the case diary are such that the involvement of the natural persons in the allegations requires investigation. Granting anticipatory bail to any of the petitioners will affect the quality of the investigations.
10. In such circumstances, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
11. Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.
12. ***CRM (A)/3192/2026*** is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

DEBABRATA DAS
Digitally signed by DEBABRATA DAS
Date: 2026.10.08 14:08:27 +05'30'