

Dl. August 25,
1. 2022

R.V.W. 51 of 2020

Parimal Mistry

Vs.

Union of India & ors.

Mr. Anindya Lahiri,
Mr. Samrat Dey Paul,
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Partha Ghosh,
Ms. Purabi Saha Das,
...for the respondents no. 1 and 2.

Mr. Devesh Tripathy,
...for the respondents no. 3 and 4.

Mr. Anil Kumar Gupta,
...for the respondent no. 5.

The matter appeared in the list yesterday with the clear indication that it would be taken up today. None of the respondents have filed their affidavits.

It appears that response of all the respondents, more particularly, that of the respondents no. 3 and 4, in relation to the Notification dated September 30, 2011 is required. In spite of repeated opportunities being given to the said respondents, till date no affidavit has been filed by the said respondents.

This is not a regular Bench. We deprecate the conduct of the respondents no. 3 and 4. Upon payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- by the respondents no. 3 and 4 to the petitioner within a week from date, time to file affidavit by the said respondents is extended peremptorily by ten days from date upon prior service of the same to the learned advocate for the petitioner. Reply thereto, if any, is to

be filed by the petitioner within one week thereafter.

The matter stands adjourned till three weeks from date.

(Soumen Sen, J.)

dns

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)