16.11.2026
Item No.6
Ct.No.35
dc.
Allowed

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (M) 2355 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Haripal Police Station Case No. 245 of 2020 dated 12.09.2020 under Sections 363/366/376(2)(n)/ 120B/506/384 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 8 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

And

In Re : **Angur Sk. @ Anggur**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Saheb Banerjee

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Parmanand Sharma, APP,
Mr. Somnath Adhikary,
Ms. Madhurai Sinha

... For the State.

Mr. Arkaprabho Roy

... For the *de facto* complainant.

Report submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State along with the acknowledgement of the private opposite party no.2/*de facto* complainant be kept with the record.

Memo of Evidence submitted on behalf of the State be also kept with the record.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that charge-sheet was submitted in the year 2020 in connection with the instant case and there were repeated directions of the High Court, in spite of the same, the case has not been progressed. Petitioner was arrested in connection with the present case on 05.10.2020; more than

six years have passed and till date, charges have not been framed on the ground that other accused persons are absconding.

Learned advocate appearing for the *de facto* complainant opposes the prayer for bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the State also opposes the prayer for bail and produces the case diary.

I have heard the learned APP appearing for the State and having considered that there is no possibility of the trial concluding in near future as there is lack of efforts on behalf of the prosecution, I am of the view that further detention of the present petitioner in connection with the instant case is unwarranted. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed**.

Accordingly, the petitioner viz., **Angur Sk. @ Anggur** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-, with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned Judge, Special Court under the POCSO Act-cum-Additional Sessions Judge, Chandernagore, Hooghly.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date before the learned Special Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of the district of Hooghly without prior permission of the learned Special Court.

Additionally, the petitioner shall meet the Officer-in-Charge, Haripal Police Station once in a fortnight till the evidence of vulnerable witnesses is over.

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 2355 of 2026, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)