

S/L 17
21.04.2026
Court No.18
SD

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

WPA 21973 of 2025

Dr. Debashis Debangshi

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Samrat Dey Paul

Mr. Subhomoy Paul

Mr. Anish Chakraborty

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Amal Kumar Sen, Ld. AAG

Mr. Sayan Datta

...for the State.

1. Two supplementary affidavits filed by the petitioner in Court today be taken on record.

2. The petitioner is employed in the West Bengal Health Service currently serving in the Suri Sadar Hospital, Birbhum. A disciplinary proceeding was initiated against him in the year 2012. The same has not concluded till date.

3. Due to the pendency of the disciplinary proceeding against him, the application made by the petitioner seeking voluntary retirement is not being allowed.

4. The petitioner alleges that he cannot perform his service effectively at night because of non-availability of a government vehicle and a call book register, a system which is being followed by all other government hospitals of the State.

5. Due to want of government vehicle, it is not possible for the petitioner, being a senior medical officer, to attend duty at night.

6. In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has raised various issues, one of which is a prayer for directing the authority to conclude the disciplinary proceeding.

7. It appears that the other issues raised by the petitioner cannot be adjudicated without the disciplinary proceeding being concluded by the authority. It is only after the said proceeding is concluded, that the other prayers of the petitioner can be looked into.

8. Accordingly, at this stage the Court thinks it fit to direct the disciplinary authority to take steps for immediate conclusion of the disciplinary proceeding which was initiated against him in the year 2012 in accordance with law at the earliest, but positively within a period of twelve weeks from the date of communication of this order.

9. A final order shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

10. The Court has not gone into the merits of any of the issues or grievances raised by the petitioner. It is made clear that the Court is not adjudicating any other issues or grievances raised by the petitioner in the instant writ petition. It will be open for the petitioner to raise the issues before the appropriate forum in accordance with law, if so advised.

11. All points are left open to be adjudicated at a proper time in a separate proceeding that may be initiated by the petitioner in accordance with law.

12. The writ petition stands disposed of.

13. As the writ petition is being disposed of without calling for any affidavit, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

14. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)