

1.
(DL)

12.02.2026
Ct. No. 02
(ARPAN)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

(Appellate Side)

W.P.A. 22013 OF 2025

SMT. KALYANI ROY

VS.

THE UNION OF INDIA & OTHERS

Mr. Bidish Ghosh, Adv.

...for the Petitioner

Ms. Manasi Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, Adv.

...for the U.O.I.

Mr. Shibjit Mitra, Adv.

Mr. Subhasish Mitra, Adv.

...for the Respondent Nos.2-5

Mr. Anil Kumar Gupta, Adv.

...for the Respondent Nos.6-9

Mr. D.K. Kundu, Adv.

Mr. Ariun Basu, Adv.

...for the Respondent Nos.11-12

1. Learned advocate representing the petitioner submits that necessary payment has been made to the petitioner by the concerned respondent authorities and grievance of the petitioner is redressed.
2. Respondents are represented by learned advocates.
3. In view of aforesaid submission made on behalf of the petitioner, no further order need be passed on this writ petition.
4. Writ petition stands disposed of.
5. Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon usual undertakings.

(SAUGATA BHATTACHARYYA, J.)