

95
16.06.2026
Ct. No.7
pp

WPA 22105 of 2006

Uttam Sadhukhan
Vs.
C.E.S.C. Ltd.

None appears on behalf of the parties nor is any adjournment prayed for even in the second call.

This matter was appearing in the Warning List and the parties had sufficient notice that the same would be taken up for hearing. There is also no adjournment prayed for on their behalf.

A Report filed by the Department indicates that despite efforts, the records of this proceeding are not traceable. It also appears from the Report that the proceeding is not traceable even in the CIS as well as the available Rule Register and Movement Registers under the custody of the Mandamus Section.

In view of the above, **WPA 22105 of 2006** stands dismissed for default.

Interim orders, if any, stand vacated.

The Department is directed to take necessary steps forthwith.

(Ravi Krishan Kapur, J.)