

06.04.2026
Sl. No.70
NB

CRM (A) 3221 of 2025
CRAN 1 of 2026

In Re:- An application for relaxation and/or waiver of conditions of anticipatory bail.

And

In the matter of: Tanmoy Bhadra

... petitioner

Mr. Abhra Mukherjee,
Mr. Sauradeep Dutta,
Mr. Arpayan Mukherjee,
Mr. Himadree Ghosh.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Pravash Bhattacharyya,
Mr. Abhinaba Mukherjee.
..for the State.

Mr. Sabyasachi Banerjee Sr.Adv.,
Mr. Sharequl Haque.
...for the de facto complainant.

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has complied with the order to meet the Investigating Officer once a fortnight till date. However, he has been made to wait for hours for endorsement on the relevant paper and has not even been examined by the Investigating Officer.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State denies the allegations and submits that pursuant to the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has complied with the direction to meet the Investigating Officer once a fortnight. Charge sheet has not yet been submitted.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the de facto complainant strongly opposes the application.

It appears that on 28.10.2025, this Court passed a detailed order granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the condition that he shall meet the Investigating Officer once a fortnight till submission of report in final form. Till date, no report in final form has been submitted.

There is nothing on record to show that the petitioner has been unnecessarily harassed by the Investigating Officer. In fact, the Investigating Officer, in his report, has categorically stated that no complaint has been received from the de facto complainant or any other witness against the petitioner regarding any kind of threat or intimidation.

Therefore, I do not find any reason to modify or relax the condition imposed while granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for relaxation and/or waiver of conditions of anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

The application being CRAN 1 of 2026 is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)