IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Ct.19 **05.10.26**
Item No.30 Sws.M

WPA 21953 of 2026

Sahajan Laskar & Anr.
Vs
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Jaharlal Ray
Ms. Kavita Rani
...for the petitioners

Mr. Asim Hati
Mr. Antariksha Karmakar
...for the State

1. Affidavit of service, as filed today on behalf of the writ petitioners is taken on record.
2. Parties are represented through their respective learned counsel.
3. By filing the instant writ petition the writ petitioners have prayed for issuance of appropriate writ/writs against the respondent authorities, more specifically against the respondent no. 5 authority commanding him to release the compensation of land acquisition in favour of the writ petitioners.
4. It is the specific case of the writ petitioners that the land of the writ petitioners, particulars of which have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the instant writ petition has been acquired long back but no compensation has been disbursed.
5. It is, thus, submitted that appropriate relief/reliefs may be granted to the writ petitioners in terms of the prayers made in the instant writ petition.

6. Mr. Ray, learned senior advocate appearing on behalf of the writ petitioners submits before this Court that the respondent no. 5 authority may be directed to consider the instant writ petition as a representation of the writ petitioners and the self-same authority may be directed to consider such representation in accordance with law.
7. In view of such, this Court while disposing the instant writ petition, directs the respondent no. 5 authority to consider a copy of the instant writ petition as a representation of the writ petitioners.
8. It is further directed that the respondent no. 5 authority shall give a fair chance of hearing to the writ petitioners and/or their authorised representative and shall pass a reasoned order and shall forthwith communicate the same to the writ petitioners.
9. The entire exercise, as indicated hereinabove, shall have to be completed within 45 working days from the date of communication of server copy of this order.
10. The time limit, as fixed by this Court is peremptory and mandatory.
11. It is further made clear that in the event while considering the representation, the respondent no. 5 authority finds sufficient merit in such representation of the writ petitioners, he shall forthwith take appropriate steps for disbursement of compensation in favour of the writ petitioners immediately but not later than 180 working

days from the date of passing of the reasoned order by him.

12. With the aforementioned observations/ directions, the instant writ petition is disposed of.

13. Liberty is given to the learned advocate on record for the writ petitioners to forward a server copy of this order to the respondent no. 5 authority, who is directed to act on the basis of server copy of this order.

14. There shall be however, no order as to costs.

15. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished to the parties expeditiously upon compliance of all legal formalities.

(Partha Sarathi Sen , J.)