

24.02.2026
Sl. No. 14
Ct. No. 14
AN

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

WPA/18948/2025
MAHUYA SINGHA
VS
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS.

Ms. Pritikana Gantait

...for the Petitioner

Mrs. Gopa Roy

...for the State

1. Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.
2. By the present writ petition the petitioner seeks direction upon the respondent authorities for disbursement of interest on delayed payment of arrear pension from the date following the date of death of the concerned employee till the date of actual payment at the rate of 18% per annum.
3. Ms. Pritikana Gantait, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner produces a copy of the death certificate of the mother of the petitioner in terms of the order dated 10th February, 2026 which is taken on record.
4. The petitioner contends that the petitioner's father was an Assistant Teacher of Chakchipat Prathamik Vidyalaya, Post Office Chakchipat, District Paschim Medinipur, who died-in-harness on 4th August, 2015. The mother of the petitioner pre-deceased her father

and died on 22nd June, 2002. The pension payment order was issued in favour of the petitioner on 13th October, 2017. The arrear pension was disbursed to the petitioner on 31st December, 2017. However, no interest on the aforesaid amount has been paid in favour of the petitioner. Hence this writ petition.

5. Ms. Pritikana Gantait, learned advocate for the petitioner submits that there is a delay in disbursement of the arrear pension and as such the petitioner is entitled to receive interest on the delayed payment of arrear pension. She seeks for appropriate orders.
6. Mrs. Gopa Roy, learned advocate representing the State leaves the matter to the discretion of the Court.
7. Though there is delay in making such claim, however, the delay *per se* cannot defeat the valuable right of the petitioner. Relief may be granted to the writ petitioner in spite of the delay if it does not affect the right of third parties. (See: ***Union of India vs. Tarsem Singh*** reported in **(2008) 3 SCC 648**).
8. From the aforesaid contentions made in the writ petition, it is found that there is considerable delay in disbursement of the arrear pension. It is the bounden duty of the State to disburse all the pensionary benefits to the employee forthwith, failing which the employee is entitled to interest on the aforesaid amount.

9. Accordingly, respondent no. 2, the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal and respondent no. 3, the Treasury Officer, Ghatal are directed to disburse the interest at the rate of @ 8% per annum on the arrear of pension in favour of the petitioner by way of pensionary benefits from the date following the date of death of the concerned employee till the date of actual payment. Such payment is to be made within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.
10. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner is directed to communicate this order to respondent no. 2, the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal and respondent no. 4, the Treasury Officer, Ghatal for necessary compliance.
11. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition being **WPA 18948 of 2025** is disposed of.
12. Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied.
13. Consequently, connected applications, if any, stand disposed of.
14. Interim orders, if any, stand vacated.
15. There shall be no order as to costs.

16. Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on compliance of all necessary legal formalities.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)