D/L 18

08.10.2026
Rohit, A.R.(Ct.)
ct.no.33
Allowed

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

C.R.M (M) 2031 of 2026

Md. Haider
Vs
The State of West Bengal

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **G.R.**Case No. **808** of **2024** arising out of **Narkeldanga** Police Station Case No. **101** of **2024** dated **02.05.2024** under Sections **302/34** of the IPC.
And
In the matter of : Md. Haider

...Petitioner.

Mr. Sk. Salim
Mr. Anish Tiwari
...for the Petitioner

Mr. Somopriyo Chowdhury
Mr. Anirban Kumar Banerjee
...for the State

Mr. Ritzu Ghosal
Mr. Younus Sultan
...for the De Facto Complainant

1. Learned Advocate representing the petitioner submitted the petitioner is in custody since 2nd of May, 2024. Four co-accused persons have been granted bail by the Division Bench of this Court. It was further submitted the present petitioner being similarly circumstanced without any overt act being attributed to him deserved to be enlarged on bail.

2. The learned Advocate representing the State submitted the present petitioner to have been identified in T.I parade by the witness whose statement had been recorded under

Section 164 Cr.P.C. It was further submitted co-accuseds Md. Altaf, Md. Amir @ Amin and Md. Shamir to have been granted bail by the Division Bench of this Court on 21st February, 2025 in CRM (DB) 4379 of 2024 was not placed under TI Parade in distinction to the present petitioner who had been identified in T.I. Parade. It was further submitted out of 35 witnesses 3 witnesses have been examined and rest of the trial is yet to be completed and at this stage the petitioner shall not be granted bail.

3. The De Facto complainant, photographer, plan maker have been examined and the next date have been fixed for examination of the first investigating officer on 25th November, 2026.

4. Considering the period of detention and the co-accused to have been granted bail by the Division Bench of this Court, the present petitioner is enlarged on bail.

5. Accordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Md. Haider** shall furnish bond of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sealdah.

6. The petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Trial Court without prior permission from the same and the petitioner and shall not

intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever and on further condition the petitioner shall meet with the Officer-in-Charge of the concerned Police Station once in a week until further orders.

7. In the event the petitioner fails to appear before the trial Court without justifiable cause, the trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail automatically without reference to this Court.

8. Accordingly, CRM (M) **2031** of 2026 is **allowed**.

9. All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

10. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)