

27th March, 2026
(D/L No.4)
Ct. No.4
(SKB)

RVW 248 of 2025
With
CAN 1 of 2025
CAN 2 of 2025

Smt. Keya Majumdar
Versus
The State of West Bengal and others

Mr. Subhasis Chakraborty,
Mr. Aditya Mondal,
Ms. Sushmita Kumari Singh
....for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Mukherjee, Id. AGP,
Ms. Sangeeta Roy
... for the respondents.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the writ petitioner. He submits that some material facts were not placed before the court while arguing the writ petition.
2. The petitioner wants to reargue the matter properly.
3. The law is very well settled as regards the review jurisdiction, which is limited to consider if there is an error apparent on the face of the record, some vital material escaped mentioned despite due diligence; or for any other analogous reasons.
4. Such ground is untenable to sustain a review.
The law in this regard is by now well settled. An

applicant for review cannot be permitted to reargue a case as if he was arguing an appeal against the order. Review cannot be confused with an appeal. The decision of the Apex Court in case of ***Sanjay Kumar Agarwal Vs. State Tax Officer (1) and another*** reported in ***(2024)2 SCC 362***.

5. The review petition is dismissed.
6. Both the applications are also disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J.)

(Supratim Bhattacharya, J.)