5.
17-11-2026
(ct. no.34)
debajyoti

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

CRR 3472 of 2025
+
IA NO:CRAN/1/2026

Sandip Roy & Anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Pradyat Saha, Adv.
... For the Petitioners.

Mr. Somnath Adhikary, Adv.,
Ms. Madhurai Sinha, Adv.
... For the State.

Ms. Prisanka Ganguly, Adv.
... For the Opposite Party No.2.

1. The report furnished before this Court on behalf of the prosecution be taken on record.

2. This revisional application has been filed by the present petitioners for quashing of the FIR, Charge Sheet and the entire proceedings of Hanshkhali Police Station Case No.126 of 2024 dated 22-02-2024 filed under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code. During pendency of this proceeding and after the opposite party no.2 appeared, they have entered into a settlement and a joint compromise petition to that extent has been filed being CRAN 1 of 2026.

3. According to the learned advocates for the parties, the matter has been settled between them and, accordingly, an application for mutual divorce under Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act has been filed. The opposite party no.2 has expressed that in accordance with their agreement, she is not willing to proceed further with regard to this case.

4. The report furnished before this Court today disclosed that there is an agreement regarding permanent alimony also out of which a certain amount has been paid and the balance is to be paid at the time of hearing of the matrimonial suit. The statement recorded by the Investigating Officer in respect of the defacto complainant also demonstrates that she is not willing to proceed further with this case because of the compromise effected between them.

5. In view of the same and in accordance with the observations made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court regarding the joint compromise petition in respect of any offence under Sections 498A/506 of the Indian Penal Code and that allowing the matter to proceed further, would be of no use, this Court is inclined to allow the prayer of the petitioners and, accordingly, the proceeding pending before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ranaghat, being G. R. No.761 of 2024 be quashed against the present petitioners being the husband and the mother-in-law.

6. With the above observations, this revisional application is disposed of along with the connected application.

7. The original copy of the 161 statements be returned and a copy thereof furnished before this Court be kept with the records.

8. All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

9. Criminal Section is directed to supply certified copies of this order to the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

[Chaitali Chatterjee (Das), J.]