

S/L 18
08.05.2026
Court. No. 25
suvayan

WPA 16419 of 2025

Shakuntala Gayen
Vs.
Union of India_& Ors.

Mr. Achyut Basu
Ms. Punam Basu
Ms. Sulagna Sen
Mr. Amritansu Sengupta

...for the petitioner.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has filed the present writ application that the bank has not sanctioned the education loan amount but during the pendency of the present writ application the bank has already sanctioned the education loan amount and as such no order is required to be passed in the present writ application.
2. In view of the above, WPA 16419 of 2025 is dismissed as infructuous.

(Krishna Rao, J.)