

31.03.2026

**DL-17
Ct. No. 03
Srimanta**

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

WPA 14452 of 2025

**Sukhendu Sinha & Anr.
-Vs.-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Arijit Majumdar,
Ms. Punam Basu,
Mr. Amritansu Sengupta

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Arindam Das,
Ms. Rumeli Sarkar,

...for the Berhampore Municipality.

Mr. Subir Sanyal,
Mr. Shoham Sanyal,
Mr. Trijit Mitra

...for the respondent no. 6.

1. The petitioners are aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the Municipal Authorities to bring to a closure the proceedings initiated by the Municipality pursuant to the complaint lodged by the petitioners on 19th February, 2024. It is the petitioners' case that the petitioners are the adjacent owners of the property which is being developed at the behest of the respondent nos.

6 to 8 at Premises No. 18/20/1, K. K. Banerjee Road, P.O. & P.S. – Berhampore, Murshidabad.

2. Records would reveal that on the basis of a complaint lodged by the petitioners a stop work notice dated 12th March, 2024 was issued. Subsequently, the petitioners were also invited to a hearing. According to the petitioners though the petitioners had attended the hearing in furtherance to the notice dated 19th March, 2024, the petitioners had not been notified as regards the outcome of the aforesaid proceedings.
3. Mr. Sanyal, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the respondent no. 6 would submit that the petitioners are unnecessarily harassing the private respondents. The private respondents are carrying out construction of a multi storied building on the basis of a sanctioned plan duly sanctioned by the Municipality. As such, no interference is called for. The Municipality is represented and would submit that the proceeding has already been concluded.
4. Having heard the learned Advocates appearing for the respective parties, I am of the view that the Municipality must notify the petitioners as

regards the outcome of the proceeding, by passing a reasoned order.

5. With the above observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.
6. There shall be no order as to costs.

(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)