

02.07.2026
Court No. 12
Item No. 14
Sandip

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

M.A.T. 1034 of 2026
IA No : CAN 1 of 2026

Md. Asfatur Rohman
-Versus-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Piyush Chaturvedi, Ld. Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Niladri Saha,
Mr. Dipjyoti Chakraborti,
Ms. Madhurima Basu
.....for the appellant.

Mr. D. N. Ray, Ld. GP,
Mrs. Sarda Sha
....for the State.

Mr. Gangadhar Das,
Mr. Tanmoy Chattopadhyay
....for the respondents.

- 1) Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the appellant is taken on record.
- 2) The appeal arises out of an order dated May 29, 2026 passed in W.P.A. 12140 of 2026.
- 3) By the order impugned, Her Lordship had allowed the meeting to proceed in accordance with law and had made the result of the meeting subject to the result of the writ petition.
- 4) We are not in agreement with Mr. Chaturvedi, learned senior advocate, who appears on behalf of the appellant that Her Lordship misconstrued the provisions of law. There is nothing on record which would show that either the requisition was invalid or that the prescribed authority had issued the notice of the meeting contrary to the law.

5) Under such circumstances, the appeal and the connected application are dismissed. However, we find that in view of the order passed in WPA 12537 of 2026, the said writ petition has probably become infructuous. We leave it open to the parties to take appropriate steps for withdrawal of the writ petition.

6) Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon usual undertakings.

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)

(Smita Das De, J.)