

D/L – 353
07/04/2026
Court No.28
S.Kundu

CRR 2122 of 2009

Dipak Barman
Vs.
State of West Bengal

1. No one appears on behalf of the petitioner.
2. No accommodation is sought.
3. It appears that on the last occasion, i.e. on 30/03/2026 no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner and no adjournment had prayed for.
4. It appears that even earlier the revisional application was dismissed for default but was later revived.
5. Accordingly, the revisional application is dismissed for default.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)