

Item-
10. 22-06-2026

WPA 13554 of 2026

Anindya Chakraborty
Versus

sg Ct. 19

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Susnigdho Bhattacharyya
Ms. Lipika Das
Mr. Popi Sarkar

...for the petitioner

Mr. Nilanjan Bhattacharjee, SSC
Ms. Jayita Dhar Chakraborty
Mr. Rhitam Chatterjee

...for the State

The petitioner alleges that the Revenue Authorities have not taken any steps to correct the record of rights in spite of the fact that the petitioner has approached such authority.

After going through the averments made in the writ petition and the reliefs claimed therein, this Court finds that the petitioner is aggrieved by the inaction on the part of an authority under the West Bengal Land Reforms Act, 1955 which is a specified Act under Section 2(r) of the West Bengal Land Reforms and Tenancy Tribunal Act, 1997.

Mr. Bhattacharjee, learned Senior Standing Counsel raises an objection as to the maintainability of this writ petition in view of an alternative and efficacious remedy available under the 1997 Act.

The remedy of the petitioner against the inaction of an authority under the West Bengal Land Reforms Act lies before the West Bengal Land Reforms and Tenancy Tribunal Act, 1997.

In view of the alternative and efficacious remedy available under the 1997 Act, this Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed as not entertained.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall not preclude the petitioner from approaching the proper forum for appropriate relief on the self-same cause of action.

The learned Advocate-on-Record of the petitioner is directed to file an affidavit of service in course of the day.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)