

24.06.2026
Court No.28
Item No.44
Sc

CRM (A) 1723 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Kharagpur All Women** P.S. Case No. **68 of 2025** dated **25.9.2025** under Sections **85 / 115(2) / 109(1) / 318(4) / 316(2)/74/3(5)** of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 3 /4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

And

In the matter of: Murshed Khan

....Petitioner

Mr. Amitava Karmakar, Adv.

Mr. Arup Kumar Bhowmick, Adv.

...for the petitioner

Mr. Bankim Pal, Adv.

....for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the husband of the alleged victim. The marriage between the two took place four years ago. There is a delay of about 56 days in lodging the complaint before the learned Magistrate, which led to the registration of the present FIR. Other accused persons were granted anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the Case Diary and opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner. It appears from the statements of the witnesses and the injury reports in the Case Diary that the petitioner assaulted the victim with a stick over a vital part of her body like head. There was a history of vomiting and she had to be treated by a doctor. It also appears from the FIR that there was a prior complaint of torture due to dowry demand filed against the petitioner and threats were being given to withdraw the same.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, **rejected**.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)