

18.06.2026
Serial no. 7
[G.S.D]

CRM (R) 59 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with GR Case No. 3047 of 2026 arising out of Galsi PS Case No. 436 of 2026 dated 06.06.2026 u/s 329(4)/117(2)/109(1)/308(5)/74/324(4)/351(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.
-And-

In the matter of : Tapas Kumar Kundu & Anr.

	... Petitioner(s)
Mr. Ayan Bhattacharya, Sr Adv.	
Mr. Sekhar Mukherjee	
Mr. Anindya Chowdhury	
Mr. Subhajit Mukherjee	
	... for the Petitioner(s)
Mr. Bikash Kr. Singh	
	... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the accusations made in the FIR relate to an incident of 2021 and the subsequent incident of 5th June, 2026 is related to each other. Learned advocate emphasizes that the accusations itself reflect that there is a tenor of political vendetta attached in the complaint itself and the petitioners have been implicated in the present case followed by arrest.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and has drawn the attention of the court to the injury report as well as the statement of the witnesses.

Having considered the above, without commenting on the merits of the case and on perusal of the materials available in the case diary, I am of the view that further

custodial detention of the present petitioners is unwarranted.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is **Allowed.**

Accordingly, the petitioners viz, **Tapas Kumar Kundu and Shayamaprasannya Kundu** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-(Rupees Twenty Thousand only) **each**, with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Learned CJM/ACJM, Purba Bardhaman.

If on bail, the petitioners shall meet with the Investigating Officer of the case once in a fortnight as also as and when called for by the Investigating Officer till submission of the charge-sheet.

Accordingly, CRM (R) 59 of 2026 is **allowed.**

Memo of Evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

