

18.06.2026
Sl. No.57
NB

CRM (A) 1679 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Thanarpara PS Case No.111/2026 dated 16.04.2026 under Sections 126(2)/118(2)/117(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Sabirul Sk @ Sabirul Sekh & Anr.

... petitioners

Mr. Amanul Islam,
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee.
...for the petitioners.

Ms. Priti Kar Bagchi
...for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. There was a long standing dispute between the private parties. A scuffle took place. But, the injuries were not grievous in nature.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She refers to the injury report, which shows infliction of serious injury on a vital part of the body like head requiring stitches for repair. In the injury report itself, the victim stated that the present petitioners were the ones who assaulted the victim with an iron rod on his head, chest and back. She also relies on the statements of the independent witnesses, who implicate the petitioners.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the

present petitioners, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)