

18.06.2026

Sl. No.50

NB

CRM (A) 1672 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Nandakumar PS Case No.43/2026 dated 27.01.2026 under Sections 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/109/351(2) of the BNS, 2023 corresponding to sections 341/323/325/307/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In the matter of: Sudhanshu Midya @ Sudhangsu Midya
... petitioner

Md. Abdur Rakib,
Ms. Mojahid Mehedi..
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Suman Chakraborty
...for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. If at all, the incident happened at the heat of the moment.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. The victim had cleared off some bush that was hurting people who would come to play in the field. Enraged by this, the petitioner hit the alleged victim on the back of his head with a brick. This caused serious injury over the occipital bone, scalp deep. According to the CT scan, there was right parieto-occipital scalp contusion. He refers to the statements of witnesses including that of the victim.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)