

01.07.2026
Sl. No. 462
Court No.35
Rohan
(Allowed)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

C.R.M.(M) 1379 of 2026

In Re: An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Nabagram Police Station Case No. 280 of 2023 dated 19.08.2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 341/325/326/307/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

-And-

**In the matter of: Nisar Ali @ Rasel @ Nishar Ali @ Rasek Sk @
Nesar Ali**

...Petitioner

Mr. Santanu Talukdar
Mr. Priyankar Ganguly
Mrs. Shalini Bairagi
Ms. Pipasa Chakraborty
Mr. Amaan Deep Gupta

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Tapodip Gupta
Mr. Golam Ahammed
Ms. Usashi Chatterjee

... for the De-facto Complainant

Dr. Pradip Banerjee, Ld. APP
Mr. Amal Kumar Datta

...for the State

1. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner earlier had drawn the attention of the Court that although the prosecution before this Court had submitted that two witnesses were left to be examined, but subsequently, the learned Public Prosecutor recalled number of witnesses. As such, the trial of the case would consume more time. The petitioner is in custody since 17th October, 2023, for about 2 years 8 months.

2. Dr. Pradip Banerjee, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, along with Mr. Amal Kumar Datta, learned Advocate was directed to represent the State in the present case. Their appearance may be regularized.
3. Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail but submits that presently, the evidence of the Investigating Officer would be deferred and the witnesses who have been recalled would be examined.
4. On a specific query from this Court, learned advocate for the State submits that the case diary does not reflect that there are any criminal antecedents of the present petitioner.
5. Having considered the period of detention of the present petitioner, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail.
6. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, namely, **Nisar Ali @ Rasel @ Nishar Ali @ Rasek Sk @ Nesar Ali** is **allowed**.
7. Accordingly, the petitioner shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Lalbagh, Murshidabad.
8. If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present before the learned Trial Court on each and every date. Petitioner shall not leave the district of Murshidabad without prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

9. In the event, the petitioner fails to comply with any of the conditions as stated above, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail in accordance with law without further reference to this Court.
10. Accordingly, **CRM (M) 1379 of 2026** is **disposed of**.
11. Investigating Officer is present pursuant to the direction passed by this Court. His further appearance before this Court is dispensed with.
12. All parties shall act in terms of the server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.
13. Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)