

17/06/2026
D/L – 44
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Allowed

C.R.M.(A) 1607 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Raghunathganj P.S case no. 226 of 2026 dated 22/02/2026 under Sections 329(4)/115(1)/117(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the BNS.

In the matter of: Kayema Khatun @ Faima Bewa Kayema Bibi & Anr.

...Petitioners.

Mr. Tapodip Gupta
Mr. Golam Ahammed

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Koustav Lal Mukherjee

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. There was a scuffle between the neighbours. However, the injury inflicted was not grievous in nature. The petitioners are not the principal accused.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statements of victim and other witnesses and the injury reports of the two victims which show infliction of injury on vital part of the body like head. But, the injury was recorded as simple.
3. Considering the above, the other materials available in the case diary and the fact that the petitioners are the female members of the household, I do not think that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required in

this case and I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to further conditions that the petitioners shall cooperate with the investigation and shall not threaten or intimidate the witnesses.
5. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is allowed.
6. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)