

AD 61
June 16, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 1598 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Purulia (M) P.S. Case No.60 of 2026 dated 21.02.2026 under Sections 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/118(1)/118(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Sk. Hasimuddin and others

... *petitioners*

Mr. Abhra Mukherjee
Mr. Sauradeep Dutta
Mr. Arpayan Mukherjee
Mr. S.K. Mondal
Mr. Himadree Ghosh

... for the petitioners

Mr. K. Bhattacharya

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. There was a fight between family members. Charge-sheet has been submitted.

Learned counsel for the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the FIR which specifically describes the roles played by the present petitioners. He also refers to the statement of the victim and other witnesses which implicate the present petitioners. He also relies on the injury report which shows infliction of serious injury on vital part of the body of the victim like the head.

Considering the above and the other materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)