

18.06.2026
Court No.28
Item No.25
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 1602 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Raina** P.S. Case No. **178 of 2026** dated **15.05.2026** under Sections **126(2)/352/351(3)/308(5)/3(5)** of the BNS, 2023 Corresponding to Section 341/504/506/386/34 of the IPC read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: Sekh Jahanul Haque

....Petitioner.

Mr. Abdur Rakib
Mr. Mojahid Mehedi
Mrs. Geetika Agarwal
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Brojesh Jha, Id. APP
Mr. P. K. Singh
....for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner was the Khadya Karmadhyaksha of the concerned Panchayat. It is alleged that he and some other assailants, extorted money from the de facto complainant at gun point. The FIR was lodged about 82 days after the alleged date of incident.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the FIR, the statements of witnesses including that of an independent witness, and the seizure list for illegal arms recovered subsequently. He also relies on the statement of a co-accused made while in police custody and on the seizure of a part of the money extorted.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)