

19.06.2026
Court No.28
Item No.34
ssi

CRM (A) 1581 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with Baishnabnagar Police Station Case No. 92 of 2026 dated 25.01.2026 under Sections 103 (1)/ 61 (2)/85 of the BNS 2023 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 21/2026 cooresponding to charge sheet no.387/26 dated 20.04.2026 under Sections 85/61 (2)/103 (1)/ 3(5) of the BNS 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Arun Mandal & others.**

.... Petitioners

Mr. Sobhendu Sekhar Roy
Mr. Amarendra Chakraborty
Mr. Argha Banerjee

...for the petitioners

Ms. Tanusree Kar

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioner no.2 has already been arrested. As such, the application for anticipatory bail is not pressed, so far as she is concerned. The petitioner no.1 is the brother in law and the petitioner no.3 is the sister in law of the alleged victim. The marriage between the couple took place 11 years ago. The principal accused, being the husband, has already been arrested and is presently in custody. The present petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She refers to the FIR, the post mortem report and the statements of witnesses. The post-mortem report clearly shows that it was a case of homicidal strangulation. The husband, after his arrest, made a statement admitting his guilt. The wife of the petitioner no.1 made a statement before the police that she and her husband were sleeping in an

adjacent room, while the victim and her husband were in another room. When she woke up, she found that her husband had left the house without any information. So far as the statement of the 8 year old daughter of the victim is concerned, she clearly stated that it was her father who quarrelled with her mother and had beaten her with kicks and a belt.

It appears that the principal accused, being the husband, is already in custody.

Considering the above and the other materials available in the case diary, the application for anticipatory bail, so far as the petitioner no.2 is concerned, is dismissed as not pressed and the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner nos.1 and 3 stands allowed.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner nos. 1 and 3 (Arun Mandal & Jyotsna Mandal) shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and on further conditions that the petitioner nos. 1 and 3 shall co-operate with the investigation and shall not threaten or intimidate the witnesses. The petitioner no.1 shall meet the I.O. once a week till submission of report in final form.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)