

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 11796 of 2026

Pradip Goswami
-versus
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Tarique Quasimuddin
Ms. Sanchita Chaudhuri
Mr. Adnan Lodi
... For the petitioner

Mr. Noni Gopal Chakraborty
... For Union of India

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.

2. The petitioner retired from service on attaining his normal age of superannuation on 31st October, 2022. His grievance is that his Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme (MACPS) benefit and promotion have not been granted to him despite his acquittal in the criminal case with the observation that 'no evidence was found against the petitioner'.

3. Prayer has been made to direct the respondents to grant him his benefits. A representation by the petitioner highlighting his grievance is pending consideration at the end of the Additional Director, office of the Additional Director General of Foreign Trade, being the respondent no.2.

4. Learned advocate representing the respondent authority is not ready in the matter.

5. As it appears that a detailed representation from the petitioner is pending consideration at the end of the respondent no.2, accordingly, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the writ petition pending.

6. The writ petition, accordingly, stands disposed of by directing the respondent no.2 to take a decision on the petitioner's representation strictly in accordance with the relevant Rules at the earliest but positively within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

7. A reasoned order shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

8. If the prayer of the petitioner is allowed, then necessary consequential steps shall be taken immediately thereafter.

9. Learned advocate for the petitioner is directed to forward a copy of the subject representation along with the supporting documents to the aforesaid respondent at the time of communicating the order of the Court.

10. It is made clear that the Court has not entered into the merits of the prayer of the petitioner and all points are left open to be decided by the aforesaid respondent at the time of consideration of the representation of the petitioner.

11. All parties shall act on the basis of a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

12. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)