

17/06/2026
D/L – 49
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Rejected

C.R.M.(A) 1618 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Gangarampur P.S case no. 177 of 2026 dated 30/03/2026 under sections 109(1)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/126(2)/3(5) of the BNS.

In the matter of: Indrajit Barman @ Indrojit Barman

...Petitioner.

Mr. Koushik Choudhury
Mr. D. Panda

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Koustav Lal Mukherjee

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He is not the principal accused.
2. Learned counsel for the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the FIR, the statements of eye-witnesses and the injury reports, which show serious injury inflicted on a vital part of the body like head. The CT Scan of brain shows that there were hemorrhagic contusion in the right temporal, tentorial leaf bleed and mild cerebral edema.
3. It is the prosecution case that although the accused first hit the petitioner with an iron rod, thereafter the present petitioner and others came in and started assaulting the victim.

4. Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)