

10.06.2026
Item No.20
PG/
Ct. No.1

W.P.A.(P) 249 of 2026
Kamal Kanti Dutta
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Raghunath Chakraborty
Mr. Mahaboob Ahmed.....for the petitioner

Mr. Ajit Kr. Mishra, Ld. AGP
Mr. Madhu Jana
Ms. Puja Sonkarfor the State

DICTATED BY PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.:

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court is taken on record.
2. The writ petitioner and the respondent/State are represented by their respective learned counsels.
3. At the time of hearing, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner at the very outset, draws attention of this Court to page no.-13 of the instant writ petition being a copy of the representation dated 14.11.2025, as submitted by the writ petitioner with the respondent no. 3 authority.
4. It is submitted further that despite submission of the representation for not granting permission to open a liquor shop, the

respondent no. 3 authority practically did nothing and as a result whereof, the present writ petitioner apprehends that a liquor shop would be opened at the place, as mentioned in the representation dated 14.11.2025. It is submitted that for non-consideration of the representation dated 14.11.2025, the valuable rights of the writ petitioner are going to be hampered, which involves public interest of the villagers of the relevant village as well.

5. Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent/State, in course of his submission, in his usual fairness, submits that he has got no objection in the event this Court directs the respondent no. 3 authority to consider the representation dated 14.11.2025, as submitted by the writ petitioner.
6. In view of such, we, while disposing the instant writ petition, direct the respondent no. 3 authority to consider the representation dated 14.11.2025 in accordance with law and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the writ petitioner and/or his legal representative

and/or any other stakeholder shall pass a reasoned order and shall forthwith communicate the same to the writ petitioner and/or any other stakeholder.

7. The entire exercise, as indicated in the foregoing paragraphs is to be completed within 30 working days from the date of communication of server copy of this order.
8. The time-limit, as fixed by this Court is peremptory and mandatory.
9. Liberty is given to the learned advocate on record for the writ petitioner to communicate the server copy of this order to the respondent no. 3 authority, who is directed to act on the server copy of this order.
10. With the aforementioned observations/directions the instant writ petition is disposed of.
11. Before parting with, it is, however, made clear while disposing the instant writ petition, we have not gone into the merits of the representation dated 14.11.2025 and all points

are kept open for adjudication before the respondent no. 3 authority.

12. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished to the parties expeditiously upon compliance of all legal formalities.

(SUJOY PAUL, CJ.)

(PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.)