

10.06.2026
Court No.28
Item No.83
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 1475 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Raninagar** P.S. Case No. **25 of 2026** dated **09.01.2026** under Section **329(4)/118(2)/109(1)/3(5)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Ranjit Mondal @ Ronjit Mondal @ Ranjit Mandal & Ors.

....Petitioners.

Mr. Tapodip Gupta
Mr. Suman Bhanja
Mr. Golam Ahammed
...for the petitioners

Mr. Kalidas Saha
....for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Out of 14 accused persons, 4 were granted anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the accused who were granted anticipatory bail were female members of the household. He submits that 5 persons have already been arrested. The accused persons inflicted injuries on 5 victims, out of whom 2 suffered grievous injuries. He refers to the statements of witnesses and the injury reports.

It appears that some of the injuries inflicted were serious ones on the vital parts of the body, like the head, requiring stitches for repair.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)