

09.06.2026
Court No.28
Item No.59
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 1461 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Bidhannagar (South)** P.S. Case No. **99 of 2026** dated **08.05.2026** under Sections **318(4)/336(3)/338** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Rajesh Singh

....Petitioner.

Mr. Krishan Roy
Mr. Firdous Samim
Ms. Gopa Biswas
Ms. Ankita Ghosh
Mr. Hasibur Rahaman Jamadar
Mr. Ayush Majumder
Mr. Rajosik Dutta
Ms. Nurani Sultana
...for the petitioner

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya, ld. APP
Mr. Saswata Chatterjee
....for the State

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner had a dispute with the WBLDCL over a contract, that was allotted to him. Although it was his claim that he had performed the contract, the same was disputed by the authorities. A suit was filed. In an arbitration proceeding, the petitioner obtained an injunction. However, it is alleged in the present FIR that when the petitioner applied for a tender in Shillong, he had relied on a forged letter. Actually, the amount of tender was only wrongly typed. In any event, this is a document-based investigation.

Learned APP representing the State relies on the case diary and strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the

copy of the forged letter as also on the communication of the WBLDCL clearly stating that the document in question was fake. Not only was the amount mentioned wrong. Even the signature in the letter was forged. The work pertained to the Defence. The petitioner also did not respond to the notices issued under Section 35(3) of the BNSS.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

The presence of the Investigating Officer is noted and is dispensed with.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)