

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 11438 of 2026

Samir Majumder
-versus
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Siddhartha Sankar Mandal
Ms. Sharmistha Dhar
... For the petitioner

Ms. Rashmi Bothra
Ms. Priti Jain
... For Union of India

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.

2. The petitioner participated in the recruitment process for appointment in the Central Reserve Police Force Examination, 2025 for the post of Constable (GD). He was found disqualified in the Physical Standard Test as his height was recorded as 168.4 cm. The minimum requisite height for qualifying in the examination is 170 cm.

3. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not able to prefer an appeal on the selfsame date. He filed an appeal on 15th March, 2026. The petitioner was intimated by a communication dated 24th March, 2026 that his appeal would be suitably answered.

4. The petitioner relies on the order dated 12th September, 2025 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this

Court in a batch of writ petitions, first of which is WPA 21522 of 2025 (Sumanta Mondal v. Union of India & Ors.) wherein the Court permitted the participants to prefer appeal within 48 hours from the date of the order. It has been submitted that the said applicants participated in the Review Medical Test and were found qualified.

5. Prayer has been made to grant similar relief to the petitioner.

6. Learned advocate representing the Union of India is yet to receive instruction in the matter.

7. On a perusal of the documents annexed to the writ petition and particularly page 20 of the writ petition which is the Physical Standard Test rejection slip it appears that, there was a categorical mention that if any candidate is dissatisfied with the ground of rejection, then appeal may be preferred in writing to the Appellate Authority on the same day and the decision of the Appellate Authority will be final and no further representation will be accepted.

8. There is a note at the bottom of the said page wherein the petitioner himself has mentioned that he does not want to prefer any appeal against the measurement taken. The same implies that the petitioner was not dissatisfied with the measurement of height on the day Physical Standard Test was conducted.

9. Assuming that the aforesaid note was not mentioned by the petitioner, even then the prayer sought for by the petitioner in terms of the order passed in the matter of Sumanta Mondal (supra) cannot be allowed as the examination in question took place on 27th August, 2025. The order in the matter of Sumanta Mondal (supra) was passed in September, 2025. The appeal was preferred by the petitioner on 15th March, 2026 i.e., long after the Physical Standard Test was conducted and order was passed by this Court in the matter of Sumanta Mondal (supra).

10. It appears that the petitioner was sitting at the fence and watching the proceeding from outside. After relief had been granted in favour of a few candidates, he approached this Court at such a belated point of time. The writ petition has been filed on 16th May, 2026.

11. It is settled law that the measurement taken on the date and time of the Physical Standard Test is relevant and the petitioner cannot be given further opportunity for getting his height measured.

12. In view of the above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner in the instant case.

13. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.

14. All parties shall act on the basis of a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

15. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)