

AD 55
June 9, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 1439 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kumarganj P.S. Case No.322 of 2025 dated 27.08.2025 under Sections 21(c)/22(c)/23(c)/27A/29 of the NDPS Act.

And

In the matter of: Manik Haque Miah and another
... *petitioners*

Mr. Kaushik Choudhury
Mr. Dwaipayan Panda
Mr. Tridib Das

... for the petitioners

Mr. Krishendu Bhattacharya, Id. APP
Mr. Utsav Dutta

... for the State

Memo of evidence filed by the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that other than the statement of a co-accused, there is no material available against the present petitioners. Charge-sheet has been submitted. The principal accused was granted bail.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, relies on the case diary and submits that other than the statement of a co-accused, there is money trail and phone call conversations between the principal accused and the present petitioners.

Considering the above, the other materials available in the case diary and the restrictions contained in Section 37 of

the NDPS Act, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)