

AD 40
June 11, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 1430 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Rahara P.S. Case No.62 of 2024 dated 14.02.2024 under Section 302 of the IPC.

And

In the matter of: Sudhanshu Biswas

... *petitioner*

Mr. Shibaji Kumar Das
Ms. Deblina De

... for the petitioner

Mr. Krishendu Bhattacharya, Id. APP
Mr. Subrata Mukherjee
Ms. Tanushree Kar
Ms. Nayana Mukhopadhyay

... for the State

Report filed by the State is taken on record.

As per the report, the present petitioner, viz, Sudhanshu Biswas, is also known in the locality as Babu Biswas, which is his pet name.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR. The victim was in an intoxicated condition and was moving around brandishing a knife and stabbing others with it. When others tried to restrain him, he fell down and subsequently passed away.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State relies on the case diary and strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the post-mortem

report, which shows multiple chop injuries inflicted by sharp-cutting weapon/s. He also relies on the statements of witnesses who have taken the name of Babu Biswas as one of the assailants.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)