

12.06.2026
Court No.35.
D/L.03.
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRA (SB) 95 of 2026

In Re: An Application under Section 14-A (2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

And

In the matter of : Pashupati Mahato @ Pashuya.

.....Appellant.

Mr. Ayan Basu
Mr. Sk Salim
Mr. Sumit Routh

.....for the Appellant.

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya, Ld. APP
Ms. Tanusree Kar

.....for the State.

Mr. Rishav Singh
Mr. Soumalya Dutta
Ms. Mehak Jaiswal

.....for the respondent no.2.

Learned advocate appearing for the appellant submits that the appellant was arrested on 04.07.2025 and since then he is in custody. Charge-sheet has already been submitted before the jurisdictional Court and the genesis of the case arose out of business dispute which has been given the colour of an offence under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 for the purpose of putting the appellant and his family members behind the bar.

Learned advocate for the de-facto complainant/respondent no.2 is present and opposes the prayer for bail. It has been submitted that the accused persons conjointly attacked the members of the complainant's family, one of them namely, Raj Sahu sustained grievous injury and other two members also suffered injury. There was puncture at the vital part of the body. The

accused persons being dangerous, there is every possibility of repetition of the offence at the behest of the accused persons.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and has drawn the attention of the Court to the injury report as well as the statement of the injured persons under Sections 180 and 183 of the BNSS.

I have taken into account the complicity of the present appellant, the nature of injuries inflicted and the period of detention of the present appellant. Having regard to the totality of the circumstances and as till date charges have not been framed, I am inclined to release the appellant on bail on stringent conditions. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the appellant is **allowed**.

As such, appellant namely, **Pashupati Mahato @ Pashuya** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of Rs. 10,000 (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, 1st Court, Purulia.

If on bail, the appellant shall be physically present on each and every date before the learned trial Court and shall not enter the jurisdiction of the Jhalda Police Station till the charges are framed by the learned trial Court.

Additionally, the appellant shall once in every fortnight meet with the Officer-in-Charge of Jhalda Police Station and for the said purpose the appellant may be allowed to enter the jurisdiction of Jhalda Police Station.

With the aforesaid observations CRA (SB) 95 of 2026 is **allowed.**

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)