

26.06.2026
Sl. No. 113
Court No.35
Rohan
(Rejected)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

C.R.M.(NDPS) 994 of 2026

In Re: An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Baishnabnagar Police Station Case No. 1211 of 2025 dated 18.09.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 21(c)/27(A)/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

-And-

In the matter of: Sarif Sk

...Petitioner

Md. Wasim Akram
Ms. Sabrina Parveen

...for the Petitioner

Ms. Arushi Rathore
Mr. Saswata Chatterjee

...for the State

1. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for about 9 months and 500 grams of brown sugar were recovered from the possession of the present petitioner.
2. Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail.
3. I have taken into account the chemical examiner's report also, which satisfies the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sentu Seikh vs. State of West Bengal [SLP (Crl.) No. 13987 of 2025]***.

4. Having considered the aforesaid facts, at this stage, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.
5. Petitioner shall renew the prayer for bail after evidence of seizure list witnesses are completed before the learned Trial Court.
6. Accordingly, **CRM (NDPS) 994 of 2026** is **dismissed**.
7. All parties shall act in terms of the server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.
8. Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)