

14.05.2026
Court No.28
Item No.65
ssi

CRM (A) 1392 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with Jadavpur Police Station Case No. 66 of 2025 dated 17.11.2025 under Sections 318/316(2)/61(2) of BNS.

And

In the matter of: **Ms. Parijat Kalita & others.**

.... Petitioners

Mr. Sabyasachi Chatterjee
Mr. Omar Faruk Gazi
Mr. Rishabh Ahmed Khan

...for the petitioners

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya

..Amicus

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. It is alleged that the principal accused had obtained Rs.56 lakhs and odd from the de facto complainant for investigating such sum. But, some money was allegedly diverted to the present petitioners. The principal accused was arrested and thereafter granted bail.

Learned Amicus assisting the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of witnesses and the documents contained in the case diary. He submits that a sum of Rs. 2.5 lakhs was refunded by the principal accused. The main allegations against the present petitioners are that substantial sums of money were parked by the principal accused with the present petitioners.

Considering the above, the other materials available in the case diary, the alleged roles ascribed to the present petitioners and the fact

that the principal accused was arrested and thereafter granted bail, I do not think that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required in this case and I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and on further conditions that the petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation and shall not threaten or intimidate the witnesses. The petitioner no.2 shall meet the Investigating Officer as and when required.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)