

16/06/2026
D/L – 25
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Rejected

C.R.M.(A) 1378 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Baishnabnagar P.S case no. 128 of 2026 dated 02/02/2026 under section 64/329(4)/351(3)/3(5) of the BNS.

In the matter of: Bhim Ghosh @ Chotka

...Petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Dutt Gupta
Mr. Parvej Anam
Ms. R. Ghosh
Mr. S. Sardar

...for the petitioner.

Name not supplied.

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The other co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statement of the victim recorded before the police as well as before the learned Magistrate. He also refers to the statements of neighbours who are immediate post-occurrence witnesses. He also points to the injury report, which records evidence of vaginal penetration and opines that “sexual violence could not be ruled out”.

3. Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
4. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)