

08/04
2026

AD/38/24
266312
NANDY

(DO)

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

WPA/10118/2023

BARNALI SRIMANI
Vs.
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Mr. Firdous Samim, Advocate
Ms. Gopa Biswas, Advocate
Ms. Salini Bhattacharjee, Advocate
Mr. Md. Inzamamul Islam, Advocate

.....for the Petitioner

Mr. Sanjib Das, Advocate

.....for the State

1. Affidavit of service, as filed, be kept with the record.
2. In spite of service, there is no appearance on behalf of the School Authorities.
3. Petitioner is aggrieved that her application for transfer has summarily been rejected without giving any appropriate reason. The petitioner's application had been sent back with a remark "NOC cannot be given to her as per G.O. (Government Order)." The Head of the Institution (HOI) did not mention which G.O. he was referring to, nor has any ground been specified as to why the application of the petitioner could not be forwarded to the District Inspector of Schools.
4. The lackadaisical approach of the HOI is deprecated.
5. However, since a long period of time has lapsed, since the rejection of the application, the petitioner is directed to make a fresh application with the school authorities.
6. This application will be considered by the authorities and, if found eligible, the same will be

forwarded to the concerned D.I., upon furnishing requisite documents along with a 'No Objection Certificate'.

7. The entire process will be completed by the School Authorities by 30.04.2026.
8. With the afore-stated directions, the writ-petition is **disposed of**. No order as to costs.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)