

20.05.2026
Sl. No.20
NB

CRM (A) 1332 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Andol PS Case No.63/2026 dated 03.03.2026 under Sections 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/118(1)/109/74/351(1)/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Raju Kumar Yadav @ Raju Yadav

... petitioner

Mr. Anindya Ghosh,
Mr. S. Sarkar.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya,
Mr. Tapodip Gupta.
.....Amicus

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. There was a fight between relatives over a property dispute. Injuries were suffered on both sides. The petitioner too suffered fracture and cut injuries. There were case and counter case.

Learned Amicus assisting the State relies on the case diary and strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statements of witness, which clearly implicate the present petitioner. The petitioner allegedly inflicted injuries with a sword. From the two injury reports, it appears that there were serious cut injuries inflicted on vital parts of the body like the head and abdomen. Even the private parts of one of the victims were seriously injured. There was also a fracture line seen on the anterior maxillary sinusitis.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)