

04
07.05.2026
Ct No.11
KCP

MAT 794 of 2026
with
IA No. CAN 1 of 2026
and
IA No. CAN 2 of 2026
(Chittaranjan Mahato Vs.
Baba Saheb Ambedkar Education
University & Ors.)

Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharya
Mr. Lutful Haque
Ms. Taharima Khatun
Mr. Mahewash Rasool
... For the appellant

Mr. Srijib Chakraborty
Mr. Aditya Mondal
... For the respondent nos. 4 & 5

Mr. Parashar Baidya
... For the respondent no.1

Affidavit-of-service, as filed, be kept on record.

Re : CAN 1 of 2026

As we have invited the parties to argue on merit, the delay in preferring the appeal is condoned.

The application for condonation of delay being CAN 1 of 2026 is, thus, disposed of.

MAT 794 of 2026
with
IA No. CAN 2 of 2026

This appeal has been preferred challenging an interlocutory order passed by the learned Single Judge in a writ petition, being WPA 24528 of 2025, in which the appellant was the respondent.

In the course of hearing, we have been informed that the order under appeal has already been acted upon. We have also been informed that previously, alleging

violation of the said interlocutory order, a contempt petition was filed and after compliance with the said order, the University has also filed a compliance report before the learned Single Judge.

In view of the above, we are of the view that nothing remains to be decided in the present appeal.

Accordingly, the appeal and its connected application are disposed of as infructuous.

As the time specified for exchange of affidavits by the parties in the writ petition has already expired, the respondents in the writ petition are directed to file affidavit-in-opposition within two weeks. Reply thereto, if any, be filed within a week after the vacation.

The parties shall be at liberty to mention the matter for enlistment and further consideration before the Regular Bench after expiry of the period stipulated for exchange of affidavits between the parties.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.) (Tapabrata Chakraborty, J.)