

05.03.2026

Item No.19
Ct.No.35
dc.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (SB) 47 of 2024

Guru Charan Das
versus
The State of West Bengal & Anr.

In Re: An Application for cancellation of bail under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

Mr. Pritam Roy,
Ms. Triparna Roy ... For the Petitioner.

Ms. Sukanya Bhattacharya,
Mr. Subham Bhakat ... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner prays that without adhering to the provisions of law, the opposite party no.2 herein on surrender, was granted bail. Learned advocate submits that opposite party no.2 had been evading the process of law.

Learned advocate for the State is present.

I have taken into account the order of bail dated 04.03.2024. The reasons assigned, *prima facie*, are on the face of it not contrary to the principles of law. However, it has been pointed out that the opposite party no.2 had been evading the process of law. Having considered the overall circumstances, I am not inclined to interfere with the order of bail granted on 04.03.2024. However, if the learned court, in seisin of the case relating to G.R. Case No. 2082 of 2023, is of the opinion that purposely and intentionally the accused person is evading the process of law, the learned court would take into account the overall conduct and if

required, issue harsher process of law for ensuring his appearance before the court in course of further progress of the case.

With the aforesaid observations, the application for cancellation of bail being CRM (SB) 47 of 2024 is disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)