

08.06.2026

Item No.38
Ct.No.34
dc.

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRMSPL 19 of 2026

with

CRAN 1 of 2026

Mukesh Kumar

versus

Sandip Kumar Bajaj

Mr. Anirban Dutta,
Mr. Dwip Raj Basu,
Ms. Shiba Das ... For the Applicant.

Mr. Shatarup Purkayastha,
Mr. Mithun Kumar Singh,
Mr. R. Majumder ... For the Opposite Party.

Re: CRAN 1 of 2026

(an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act)

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today be kept on record.

Learned advocate appearing for the opposite party opposes the prayer for condonation of delay.

There has been delay of 76 days in preferring the special leave to appeal. Having considered that the applicant has suffered financial burden, the prayer for condonation of delay in preferring the special leave to appeal is allowed. Accordingly, delay, as prayed for, is condoned. The application being CRAN 1 of 2026 is, thus, allowed.

Re: CRMSPL 19 of 2026

Having regard to the reasons assigned in the application for special leave to appeal for challenging the judgement and order of acquittal passed by the learned Trial Court, I am of the opinion that the applicant has made out a

case for preferring appeal. Accordingly, the applicant is granted leave to prefer appeal within the statutory period.

CRMSPL 19 of 2026 is, thus, allowed.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)