

5th March, 2026

Item no.DL 57
Court No. 18

Sudipta,
A.R.(Ct.)

In the High Court at Calcutta
Special Civil Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Case No.

CPAN 673 of 2024
in
WPA 18639 of 2023

In the matter of :

BIDYUT CHAKRABORTY

.... Applicant

VS.

SMT. SIKHA DOLAI & ORS.

....Alleged Contemnors

For the applicant :

Mr. Rudranil De
Mr. Udaynarayan Betal
Mr. Soumo Charan

...Advocates

For the respondent nos. 11 & 12 of the writ petition:

Mr. Kamalesh Bhattacharya
Mr. Swapan Kr. Pal

...Advocates

For the respondent nos. 5, 8 & 9 of the writ petition:

Mr. Satyendra Agarwal
Mr. T. Nath Sarkar
Mr. Bijay Bag

...Advocates

1. Exception of the petitioner to the report filed by the panchayat in Court today be retained with the record.
2. Learned advocate representing the petitioner contends that report filed by the panchayat is absolutely vague, devoid of the necessary details.
3. Specific contention of the petitioner is that the construction has been made over the water body and no plan could be sanctioned for raising

construction thereon. No inspection was conducted to arrive at a finding that the construction was made in accordance with the sanctioned plan.

4. Learned advocate representing the Panchayat insists that construction was made in accordance with the sanctioned plan.
5. Whether the order passed by the Pradhan is in accordance with law or not cannot be adjudicated in the contempt proceeding.
6. It will be open for the petitioner to challenge the action of the gram panchayat before the competent forum in accordance with law, if so advised.
7. The instant contempt application stands disposed of.
8. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be made available to the parties.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)