

28.04.2026
Sl. No.52
NB

CRM (A) 1257 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Chanditala PS Case No.883/2025 dated 22.11.2025 under Sections 329(3)/117(2)/109/76/303(2)/352/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Tarun Kumar Rit

... petitioner

Mr. Soumya Basu Roy Chowdhuri,
Mr. Abir Dalui.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Imran Ali,
Mr. Nirupam Dhali.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an aged person. There was a long standing civil dispute between the private parties. This led to a scuffle between two groups. The petitioner also received serious injuries.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of the victim and the other witnesses. He also relies on medical papers of the 66 year old victim, who had to remain hospitalized for ten days. Among other things, there was a fracture of leg, which had to be surgically fixed.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)